

# Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Long Valley School	Sherri Morgan Executive Director/ Superintendent	smorgan@longvalleycs.org 5308272395

## Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require a LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific LCFF priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

Below are the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

## Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

### **Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)**

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

### **Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)**

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

### **Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)**

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

### **School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)**

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

### **Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)**

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

### **Coordination of Services for Expelled Students-County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)**

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

### **Coordination of Services for Foster Youth-COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)**

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

# Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

## Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	1

## OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

### Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA			3		
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)			3		
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics			3		
Next Generation Science Standards			3		
History-Social Science			3		

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards			3		
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA			3		
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)			3		
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics			3		
Next Generation Science Standards			3		
History-Social Science			3		

**Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks**

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education				4	
Health Education Content Standards			3		
Physical Education Model Content Standards			3		
Visual and Performing Arts			3		
World Language			3		

## Support for Teachers and Administrators

### 5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole			3		
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers			3		
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered			3		

### Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

### 6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

## Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below -

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
  - 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
  - 2 - Beginning Development
  - 3 - Initial Implementation
  - 4 - Full Implementation
  - 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

### Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.			3		
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.			3		
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.			3		

### Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

LVS has strength in creating welcoming environments. Each location's staff have friendly "customer service" attitudes and encourage all families to participate. The school implemented additional methods for communication this year including Sunday evening phone calls with an update for the week. The school utilizes Parent Square to communicate, providing 2-way communication. Teachers have increased contacts with individual families to assure regular engagement. The school will begin a program for social emotional learning in the 2021-22 school year, helping all stakeholders gain deeper understanding of each other.

## Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.			3		
2. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.		2			
3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.			3		
4. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.		2			

### Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Challenges from the COVID-19 pandemic have demanded more efforts in partnering with families to support student learning and working together for student success. Charter schools are required to notify families of their enrollment rights and those notifications are provided. An area for focus is to continue to provide families with information and training in using the online tools initiated in the 20-21 school year. The school plans to utilize the services of a family outreach coordinator to reach all families.

## Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.			3		
3. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	



Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
4. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.		2			

**Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)**

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

The school regularly posts policies and proposed changes prior to adoption so that families have the opportunity to comment and make recommendations. The school has engaged more students in the advisory process and still struggles to find parents willing to participate. Due to COVID-19, family engagement activities were not planned and no progress was made in this area; this remains an area of focus for improvement.

**School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)**

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6– 8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

- DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
- MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
- USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Local surveys were conducted in May 2021 to all enrolled students and their families and included questions on student perceptions of school safety and connectedness. Disaggregated survey results include:

Parent Responses:

The school is a safe place for my child. (Doyle)=100%; (Portola)=100%  
 My child feels connected to the school community. (Doyle)=100%; (Portola)=94%  
 I feel connected to the school community. (Doyle)=85%; (Portola)=100%

Student Responses:

I feel safe at school. (Doyle grades TK-5)=86% and 14% weren't sure; (Doyle grades 6-12)=83%; (Portola grades TK-5)=insufficient responses; (Portola grade 6-12)=100%

Staff Responses:

This school is a safe place for staff. 100%  
 This school is a safe place for students. 100%  
 I feel like I am a part of the team. 84%; 16% neither agreed or disagreed.

The results reveal the following points/ planned actions for continuous improvement:

1. There are parents in Doyle who do not feel connected to the school community, though there is high agreement with feeling encouraged to participate, having the opportunity for input, being well-informed about student progress, and receiving communication regarding events and meetings. The school needs to better understand how parents

are interpreting this question and learn more about how they would feel connected. This can be done through a pencil/paper questionnaire and interviews.

2. While parents and staff feel the school is safe, some students in Doyle do not. The school will conduct an in-classroom survey focused on school safety to determine the circumstances and specific details of their perceptions of safety.

3. Some staff have mixed feelings about being part of the team. The school will conduct a survey focused on how staff interpret being part of the team to better identify how to best support staff.

## Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

1. The school reviews the course catalog in the student information system and has confirmed there is access to all required courses. The registrar reviews every high school student's course enrollments at the start of every semester to assure enrollment in courses required for graduation; this analysis is recorded on a credit worksheet and is shared with the teacher, student, and family. Site administrators review courses for students in TK-8 and confirm access through observations.

2. Without question, students are enrolled in ELA, math, social science, science, and PE courses based on their grade-level requirements. Foreign language courses are available, however, enrollment is not widespread for middle school especially. Health coursework is not consistently aligned with state standards, though during the 2020-21 school year, curriculum for sexual health for middle and high school was aligned and taught by trained staff. CTE courses for high school students are closely tracked for pathway progress and have increased over the last two years. Few students complete an A-G sequence of coursework.

3. Enrollment in health, foreign language, and A-G courses are in need of attention. Barriers for each include:

>>The school has not adequately reviewed or implemented the health standards; there have been challenges in time. Also, there are few instructional resources consistently available.

>>During 2020-21, the school utilized Rosetta Stone and Bright Thinker (online) courses for foreign language, both of which are A-G approved. Rosetta Stone offered the opportunity for speaking and feedback, but did not provide enough practice with written language. Bright Thinker lacks speaking and feedback components. There is a lack of credentialed staff in foreign language to support speaking and listening skills. For middle school, there has not been clear direction with a curriculum or pacing.

>>The school enrolls many high school students who have not met minimum grades in their coursework to qualify for A-G credit. Most A-G courses are available online, with some textbook based courses added in the last two years. Not all high school staff clearly understand the A-G sequence and its value.

4. In response to the results above, the school intends to:

>>Train staff on health standards; provide course descriptions and required elements for grades K-8; purchase resource materials identified in the descriptions. Develop an A-G health course for high school students.

>>Train staff on world language standards; provide guidelines and resources for K-8; identify A-G approved courses that are aligned to the world language standards and provide adequate teacher support for speaking and listening.  
>>Work toward replacing courses not currently A-G approved with courses to meet A-G approval; provide the academic support to increase the level of course rigor; create a part-time position to focus on course development and A-G approvals.